A New Genus and Species of Boarfish (Perciformes: Pentacerotidae) from Western Australia

Graham S. Hardy*

Abstract

Parazanclistius hutchinsi gen. nov., sp. nov. is described from five examples taken from south-west Western Australia. The genus differs from the superficially similar Zanclistius Jordan, 1907, in the more anterior placement of the pelvic fin, possession of scales on the opercle and subopercle, more deeply concave dorsal snout profile, larger number of lateral line pores, and relatively longer pectoral fins.

Introduction

In the course of a revision of the Pentacerotidae (Hardy 1983), onc example of a boarfish from Rottnest Island, Western Australia, was found to be quite different from other known species. Four further examples have since been examined, enabling a detailed description of the species to be made. Because of several significant differences between the species here described and the superficially similar Australasian species *Zanclistius elevatus* (Ramsay and Ogilby, 1888), the former is placed in a new genus, bringing to eight the number of genera for the family, and to five the number of pentacerotid species recorded from Western Australia.

Methods and Abbreviations

Measurements were taken following Hardy (1983). All specimens were X-rayed for vertebral and caudal ray counts.

The following abbreviations are used in the text: SL, standard length; HL, head length; N, number of specimens examined; NMNZ, National Museum of New Zealand, Wellington; WAM, Western Australian Museum, Perth.

Systematies

Parazanclistius gen. nov.

Type species: Parazanclistius hutchinsi sp. nov.

Diagnosis

A genus of pentacerotid fishes superficially resembling Zanclistius, but having the pelvic fin base anterior to the pectoral fin base, and well-developed scales on

^{*} National Museum of New Zealand, Private Bag, Wellington, New Zealand.

A New Genus and Species of Boarfish

the opercle and subopercle. The pectoral fin of *Parazanclistius* is relatively longer than in *Zanclistius*, and the dorsal snout profile considerably more concave. In addition, *Parazanclistius* has a greater number of lateral line pores (66-72) than *Zanclistius* (55-65).

Description

See following description of P. hutchinsi.

Remarks

The description of Parazanclistius brings to 4 the number of genera, all monotypic, in the subfamily Histiopterinae (the other genera are Evistias Jordan, 1907, Histiopterus Temminck and Schlegel, 1844, and Zanclistius Jordan, 1907). This contrasts with the 4 remaining pentacerotid genera, which comprise 9 species. Recognition of such a degree of monotypicity in the histiopterine genera, reflects in my opinion their considerable morphological divergence. Table 1 demonstrates the discordant nature of shared characters in these genera. That these character states simply represent interspecific differences cannot be reasonably entertained if consistency in generic criteria is to be maintained within the family. On the basis of shared characters, it might be argued that Zanclistius and Parazanclistius are sister groups; the overall body proportions, falcate dorsal fins, fin formulae, and number of vertebrae apparently support this. However, Parazanclistius exhibits a number of uniquely derived features, including the depressed nature of the snout and more anteriorly positioned pelvic fins, which must be significant at the generic level, when viewing the family overall. The presence of scales on the opercular and subopercular bones, appears to represent an evolutionary reversal, since no other pentacerotids show this feature. Even Paristiopterus gallipavo, which has considerable epithelial covering of the skull bones, unlike the remainder of the family, lacks scales on the opercular bones. On the other hand, the heavier and more rugose development of the occipit with age, and broad rounding of the interorbit seen in Parazanclistius, Histiopterus and Evistias contrasts with Zanclistius, in which the occipit becomes hooked and the interorbit deeply medially depressed.

Several other features add support to the considerable divergence that has apparently taken place between Zanclistius and Parazanclistius. The posterior margin of the anal fin differs in the 2 genera. In Zanclistius the anteriormost anal fin rays are longest, the posterior margin being straight (see Hardy 1983: Figure 3A), whereas the posterior margin in Parazanclistius is rounded. The two genera differ also in number of lateral line pores (55-65 in Zanclistius, 66-72 in Parazanclistius), and in length of pectoral fin (2.6-2.9 times in SL in Zanclistius specimens \geq 174 mm SL, 2.1-2.5 times in SL in Parazanclistius specimens \geq 188 mm SL).

In all, such character divergence is comparable with that seen between other pentacerotid sister groups – Paristiopterus (2 species) and Pentaceropsis (1 species); Pentaceros (3 species) and Pseudopentaceros (3 species) (see Hardy 1983).

Graham S. Hardy

Parazanclistius hutchinsi sp. nov.

Figure 1; Table 1

Zanclistius elevatus (non Ramsay and Ogilby). McCulloch, 1911, p. 67 (part), figs 16, 18; Maxwell 1980, p. 114 (part), pl. 33.

Holotype

WAM P.25718-001, 188 mm SL, Transit Reefs, Rottnest I. (32°00'S, 115°30'E), speared, R. Bullock, 7-9 m, 6 February 1977.



Figure 1 Holotype of Parazanclistius hutchinsi, WAM P.25718-001, 188 mm SL.

Paratypes

Four specimens, all from Western Australia. WAM P.725, 204 mm SL, off Bald I., E of Albany (34°56'S, 118°28'E), Chief Inspector of Fisheries, 25 August 1920; WAM P.16411, 278 mm SL, Bunbury (33°20'S, 115°38'E), speared, J. Lamera, 28 December 1968; NMNZ P.12195 (2 specimens), 196-197 mm SL, Western Great Australian Bight (33°15'S, 124°06'E), 79 m, Engel high lift ground net, Soela (S05/81/7), 29 November 1981.

Diagnosis

As for genus.

Description

The following counts and proportions are for the holotype and, in parenthesis, the range for the 4 paratypes. (Measurements and counts of the type specimens are presented in Table 2.)

	4	,		
	Evistias Jordan, 1907	<i>Histiopterus</i> Temminck and Schlegel, 1844	Zanclistius Jordan, 1907	Parazanclistius gen. nov.
Number of vertebrae Build of dorsal spines	27 similar	24-25 penultimate spine heaviest	24-25 similar	25 similar
Posterior margin of dorsal fin Position of pelvic spine base	rounded immediately posterior to pectoral fin base	rounded below posterior of pectoral fin base	falcate below posterior of pectoral fin base	falcate in advance of pectoral fin base
Condition of opercle and subopercle Position of mouth relative to orbit	exposed well in advance	exposed well in advance	exposed well in advance	scaled underneath or just in advance
Development of occipit with increasing age Development of	more rugose, rounded broadly rounded	more rugose, rounded broadly rounded	more rugose, hooked deeply medially denressed	more rugose, rounded broadly rounded
interorbit with age Dorsal fin formula Anal fin formula	IV-V, 26-28 III-IV, 11-14	IV, 23-29 III, 8-10	V-VII, 25-29 III, 12-17	VI, 25-27 111, 13-14
Table 2 Measurement	ents and counts of type spe	ts and counts of type specimens of Parazanclistius hutchinsi.	hutchinsi.	
		Holotype WAM P.25718-001	Paratypes WAM WAM N P.16411 P.725 H	es NMNZ NMNZ P.12195 P.12195
Standard length		188 67		
Snout-vent length		110 39	168 11944 31	T
Snout length Upper jaw length		24	33 26	25 25 96 96
Width of orbit	1.1.	23	29 <i>21</i> 30 18	
Least bony interorbital width	Least bony interorbital width	22		
Snout to base of pectoral fin	l fin	20	107 77	76 75

Table 1 Selected characteristics of histiopterine genera.

A New Genus and Species of Boarfish

	Holotype		Paratypes	ypes	
	WAM P.25718-001	WAM P.16411	WAM P.725	NMNZ P.12195	NMNZ P.12195
Snout to base of nelvic fin	62	96	69	65	65
Posterior of dorsal fin hase to nosterior of anal fin hase	36	53	39	36	37
Length of caudal neduncle	26	39	30	27	29
Rody width at hase of nelvic spine	24	37	28	30	27
Body width at base of pectoral fins	29	45	33	36	37
Body denth at base of nelvic spines	117	172	123	128	124
Body denth at base of first anal spine	118	164	112	125	124
Snout to origin of dorsal fin	102	135	109	107	101
I much of dored fin have	135	180	142	132	134
Longth of doveal fin enimons hase	44	61	49	49	42
Longth of doreal fin soft base	105	140	106	100	106
Origin of pelvic spine to origin of first anal spine	63	102	73	20	73
Snout to origin of first anal snine	121	195	136	135	133
Length of anal fin base	57	78	59	59	59
I enoth of anal fin spinous base	20	26	16	19	23
Longto of anal fin soft hase	41	60	48	45	41
Length of pectoral fin	85	110	86	91	94
Doceal ray count	VI. 27	VI, 25	VI, 26	VI, 25	VI, 25
Anal ray count	III, 14	111, 14	IV, 13	IV, 13	III, 13
Pertoral ray count	17/17	16/17	18/18	17/17	17/17
Caudal ray count: principal (procurrent)	17(3+2)	17(3+2)	17(3+2)	17(3+2)	17(3+2)
Dalvie van count	1.5	1,5	1,5	1,5	1,5
a civite tay counter Vertebrae	13+12	13 + 12	13 + 12	13+12	13 + 12
Gill rakers	5 + 17	5+15	5 + 17	6+16	6+16
Lateral line pores	66	72	99	71	67

Graham S. Hardy

L

Table 2 (continued)

Dorsal rays VI, 27(VI, 25-26); anal rays III, 14(III-IV, 13-14); principal caudal rays 17(17); procurrent caudal rays 3+2(3+2); pectoral rays 17(16-18); pelvic rays I, 5(I,5); lateral line pores 66(66-72); vertebrae 13+12(13+12); gill rakers 5+17(5-6+15-17, range 20-22).

Body strongly laterally compressed, dorsal profile broadly rounded before dropping steeply under dorsal fin rays to caudal peduncle; ventral profile flattened, strongly rounded at anal fin base to caudal peduncle; belly keeled; snout-vent 1.7(1.7) in SL; depth at pelvic spine origin 1.6(1.5-1.7) in SL, at first anal spine 1.6(1.6-1.8) in SL; width at base of pelvic spine 7.8(6.6-7.5) in SL, at base of pectoral fin 6.4(5.3-6.2) in SL.

Head broadly covered with rugose, striated bones anteriorly, 2.8(2.7-2.9) in SL; preorbital, circumorbitals, preopercular, and ventral surface of mandible with large sensory pits roofed by membrane; snout very deeply concave, lightly built and somewhat elongate, 2.1(2.1-2.3) in HL; anterodorsal surface of snout and nasal region scaleless; mouth slightly oblique; lips and chin highly villose; chin with 6 large pores, lower jaw ventral margin with several smaller pores; jaws even anteriorly, teeth of both jaws short, conical and slightly curved, set in broad bands anteriorly, bands narrowing along sides; vomer toothless; nostrils close together and equally sized, anterior one with a prominently raised posterior margin, equidistant between eye and snout; interorbit moderately broad, flattened or rounded, 3.9(3.2-3.9) in HL; eyes large, bony orbit 2.9(2.6-3.3) in HL; posterior of bony orbit to posterior angle of opercular 3.1(3.0-3.4) in HL; occipital crest rounded; nape carinate; dorsal fin continuous, base extensive and scaled, 1.3(1.4-1.5) in SL; snout to dorsal origin 1.8(1.8-2.1) in SL; dorsal spines heteracanth, slender, received in a weak dorsal groove, increase progressively in length; base of dorsal spines 4.3(4.0-4.7) in SL; anteriormost dorsal rays long, concave posterior margin; base of dorsal rays 1.8(1.8-2.0) in SL.

Anal fin continuous, base moderately short and scaled, 3.3(3.3-3.6) in SL; snout to anal origin 1.5(1.4-1.5) in SL; pelvic spine to first anal spine 3.0(2.7-2.8); anal spines increase progressively in size, third rather more slender than second; base of anal spines 9.4(8.5-12.8) in SL; posterior margin of anal rays rounded; base of anal rays 4.6(4.3-4.8) in SL.

Caudal peduncle short, moderately deep, 7.2(6.8-7.4) in SL; posterior of dorsal fin base to posterior of anal fin base 5.2(5.2-5.5) in SL; caudal fin scaled basally, slightly emarginate.

Pectoral fin elongate, pointed, 2.2(2.1-2.5) in SL; snout to pectoral fin base 2.7(2.6) in SL.

Pelvic spine fails to reach first anal spine, base in advance of pectoral fin base, fin long and rounded; snout to pelvic fin base 3.0(2.9-3.1) in SL. Lateral line strongly arched from shoulder, peaking under the posteriormost dorsal spine, before dropping more shallowly to caudal peduncle, thence straightening to caudal fin base. Scales small, ctenoid, extensive patches on cheek, above and behind eye, and over opercle and subopercle.

Graham S. Hardy

Colour of holotype (in isopropyl alcohol): body generally pale brown, darkening slightly on belly and adjacent to dorsal and anal fin bases; dorsal region of snout, upper lips, sides of lower jaw, and base of chin dark brown; cheeks behind posterior corner of mouth with yellowish sheen; dorsal, anal and caudal fins with indistinctly edged, narrow, darkish bands crossing rays; a prominent, white-edged, black spot on dorsal fin, centred above bases of posteriormost dorsal fin rays; pectoral fins colourless; pelvic fins with greyish-black membrane especially dark distally.

Distribution

Known from south-west Western Australia, from Rottnest Island, to the western extreme of the Great Australian Bight, and apparently also from South Australia (see McCulloch 1911).

Remarks

The only published records of *P. hutchinsi* are those of McCulloch (1911) and Maxwell (1980), who both included the species in their accounts of *Zanclistius elevatus*. McCulloch's figures 16 and 18, of specimens c. 140 and 150 mm SL, are both of *P. hutchinsi* and demonstrate the larger pectoral fin (crossing the lateral line), rounded anal fin, advanced pelvic fin, snout profile and mouth position relative to eye in that species. Not all these differences were documented by McCulloch however. In addition, it is not possible to determine which of the several localities listed by McCulloch, yielded examples of *P. hutchinsi*, though it is likely that they were taken from stations in South Australian waters.

Maxwell's (1980) account included a lateral line pore count of 66-68 for Z. *elevatus.* This is likely to have been taken from *P. hutchinsi* specimens, and his colour reproduction (Plate 33) is also of the latter species.

colour reproduction (Plate 33) is also of the latter species. *Parazanclistius hutchinsi* is named after J. Barry Hutchins of the Western Australian Museum, Perth, in recognition of his contributions to knowledge of the Western Australian marine fish fauna, and his helpfulness in making available to me considerable amounts of study material from time to time.

Acknowledgements

I am very grateful to J. Barry Hutchins, Western Australian Museum, Perth, for the loan of examples of *P. hutchinsi* in his care, and to Janice May, Division of Fisheries and Oceanography, CSIRO, Cronulla, who gave me two further examples. Drs J.C. Yaldwyn, F.M. Climo and G.R.F. Hicks commented on the manuscript.

References

- Hardy, G.S. (1983). A revision of the fishes of the Family Pentacerotidae (Perciformes). N.Z.J. Zool. 10 (2): 177-222.
- Maxwell, J.G.H. (1980). A field guide to trawl fish from the temperate waters of Australia. CSIRO, Division of Fisheries and Oceanography, Circular No. 8.
- McCulloch, A.R. (1911). Report on the fishes obtained by the F.I.S. Endeavour, on the coasts of New South Wales, Victoria, South Australia and Tasmania, Vol. 1. In: Zoological Results of the Fishing Experiments carried out by F.I.S. 'Endeavour' 1909-10 under H.C. Dannevig, Commonwealth Director of Fisheries. Published by Direction of the Ministers for Trade and Customs, Sydney.